### JAN MAREK KANT

**Applicant** 

### **AUSTRALIAN INFORMATION COMMISSIONER**

Respondent

# Applicant's list of issues

## Issues (as questions)

- 1. Was the original request a complaint about the *Australian Security Intelligence*Organisation (**ASIO**) in which the Applicant claimed ASIO had mishandled his personal information?
- 2. Was the original request refused for reasons as stated in the 12 Sep 2023 notification?
- 3. Is the refusal decision in accordance with the law?
- 4. Can the Respondent investigate an alleged interference with privacy not being an "interference with privacy" in meaning of Part III of the Privacy Act?
- 5. Is ASIO exempt from operation of the Privacy Act?
- 6. Does the Respondent have authority to investigate the handling of personal information by ASIO?
- 7. Does the law Require the Respondent also investigate any interference with the Applicant's ICCPR rights by ASIO?
- 8. Was the refusal decision designed to fail against judicial review?
- 9. Did the Respondent contrive for a judgement favouring the Applicant?
- 10. Did the Respondent contrive for the proceeding to continue after the Applicant proposed to discontinue it?
- 11. Is the Respondent in his official capacity guilty of an offence against the Privacy Act?
- 12. Is the Commonwealth guilty of an offence against the Privacy Act?
- 13. Did the Respondent attempt "serious interference with the privacy" of the Applicant? If so:
  - 13.1. Is attempted "serious interference with the privacy of an individual" punishable like successful "serious interference with the privacy of an individual"?
- 14. Is conduct of the Respondent punishable as "serious interference with the privacy of an individual"? If so:

- 14.1. Is the Applicant entitled to award of the pecuniary penalty? If so:
  - 14.1.1. What amount is to be awarded?
- 15. Did conduct of the Respondent amount to *disprivacy*? If so:
  - 15.1. Is the Applicant entitled to damages?
- 16. Did conduct of the Respondent amount to tortious use/abuse of the process of the Court? If so:
  - 16.1. Is tortious use/abuse of the process of the Court actionable per se?
  - 16.2. Is the Applicant entitled to damages?
- 17. How, if at all, should the Applicant's circumstances and/or the Respondent's conduct of the proceeding affect the assessment of costs in the proceeding?
- 18. Who should pay the costs of the proceeding?

#### **Evidence**

- 19. For purposes of items [1-3, 7] above, evidence includes:
  - a. The Respondent's 23 Feb 2024 Tender Bundle
  - b. The affidavits enclosing "JMK-10" and "JMK-34"
- 20. For purposes of items [8 16] above, evidence includes:
  - a. The Respondent's 23 Feb 2024 Tender Bundle
  - b. The affidavits enclosing "JMK-8", "JMK-10" through "JMK-22", "JMK-24", "JMK-25", "JMK-27" through "JMK-30", "JMK-43", "JMK-46", "JMK-48", and "JMK-49"
- 21. For purposes of item [14.1.1] above, evidence includes the affidavits enclosing "JMK-1", "JMK-8" and "JMK-11"
- 22. For purposes of item [16.1, 16.2] above, evidence includes the affidavit enclosing "JMK-26"
- 23. For purposes of item [17] above, evidence includes the affidavits enclosing "JMK-1" through "JMK-5", "JMK-8", "JMK-9", "JMK-11", "JMK-13" through "JMK-16", "JMK-18", "JMK-19", "JMK-21" through "JMK-46", "JMK-48", "JMK49" and "JMK-51" though "JMK-55"

**Prepared by:** Jan Marek Kant, Applicant 02 May 2025